G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Genl 47-18-(1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10-12) 1922.
47-22 (8 to 9) Genl)

File No. 47/22

Serial Nos. 1—6

Later references.

Genl 73-23 (1)
— 52-44. 1—11.

(5A)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for November 1922

SUBJECT

Withdrawal of restriction, as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

(Secy)

To

The Director of Mines & Geol
" " of Geol.
" " Industries & Commerce
" Chief Inspector of Mines.
" Rev Commr
" Secy. Industries & Commerce Board.

No. 3.C 9941-4 of 6/11/22
Passed orders to the

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 5[illegible]

19[illegible]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xii of the schedule)

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

18th May 1922.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council. Jan. Krom 26.5.22.

6621-132 20.5.22 **First Member of Council.** 22.5.22

Second Member of Council. 24/5

Third Member of Council.

G.R.S. III—3]

37) Prospecting work to be done by the Dept on a commercial Basis

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Proposals called for in regard to the prospecting work on a commercial basis have not yet been received. A draft reminder is submitted.

AHS
12-11-20.

2 Issue
DCS
13/11/20.

Issued 15·33 & 15·34
Dy 6-9-21.

Issued 2196-7 Dy 5-10-21
3217 Dy 2 12/21 to Dy of Mtg
3218 Dy 2 12/21 to Dy of Ecol
4033-4 Dy 25 1/22 to Dy of Ecol & Dy of Mtg

91.1

91.2

From The Director of Geol.
No. 1322 Dt 10·2·22

States that as even the ordinary work of the Dept is suffering for want of funds, the work on a commercial basis may be left to private enterprise in the present financial stringency & this question is also under Consideration

11·2·22

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Prospecting work on commercial basis;

G.R.S. III—3]

4295
3757.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sec'y Board has appointed a special Mineral Development Committee. Get a report from the Sec'y about the recommendations of that Committee

Mg
13/2

Wrote Secy
13/2/22

To the Secretary, F&C Board
45-23 dy 18-2-22
Asking him to report about the progress on which the Special Mineral Committee appointed by Board is working.

From the Secretary, F&C Board
2267 dy 11-3-22
Forwards copy of proceedings of meeting of the Special Sub-committee appointed to consider the question as well as an extract from the proceedings of the Board

Submitted for orders.
A.S.
17-3-22

Please put up the papers relating to the meeting of prospecting mines and note whether anything remains to be done by the Government in certain respects as the whole state of affairs was not clear to me

Mg
22/3

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

4225 3

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Geol File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

Rebd

A Geol file no 47-8 Relating to the reservation of certain ores & minerals within specified areas is put up.

ikks
25-3-22

(Separated from file re- concessions to Mr. Manikwalla under Govt. as directed. 10.4.22.)

10 The file leading to the order of 29th April 20 reserving certain minerals (limestone, mica & certain other minerals) in specified areas is put up for perusal. Kind reference is also invited to the then First Member of Council's final note of 19.3.20.

11 The recommendation of the Board is to go back to the pre-war conditions and withdraw all reservation so that the work of commercial development of minerals may be taken up by private enterprise. If it be found that such commercial work as is contemplated in para 8(2)(c) of G.O. of 18.9.1919 cannot be successfully undertaken by the Geological Deptt. Nor is it likely that the Industries or

Other

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4225

4

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Genl File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

Dept of Coal will in the near future be in a position to utilise Cumming any of the minerals which have been reserved for Coal -

The resolution of the Dte Board would, if approved, allow private capitalists to come forward with proposals for exploiting these minerals.

Mch
11.4.22

GL Secy

Please put up a draft order approving the resolution of the Dte Bd

Early

Mch 21/4

21/4

Res 29/4

14
Submitted with a draft

AAS. 21-4-22 28.

15 Draft revised. Please put up a typed draft Early

Mch 8/5

16
Submitted with a typed draft

AAS 10-5-22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Govt File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

17 With a draft order for approval as directed.

(Council meeting)

11-5-22

18 Draft which is in accordance with the proposals when B.O.P. etc. may perhaps be appd.

12/5

19 Draft approved.

6605-411
12.5.22.

As this means change of policy the may be submitted to the Govern before issuing orders

13.5.22.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 15 1922
Reg. No. 6351

20

15/5

Dewan

21 May be Council meeting C. The original with this appd by Govt

22

16/5

To Ch Sec. with compts

17/5/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

23

17/5

Subject. -

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

.....

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

24 WK

Members of Council R.

the prospecting licenses

being restricted to

Europeans, and to people

who have rendered services

in some, specified

branches, to be

further ~~to~~ people to be

removed to ~~the~~ and members

be

14/6/22

25

General Secretary with

Compliments.

14/6/22 be

As[26] for urgent attention

16/6.

WS. 16/6

4225
375

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

27
Submitted with a copy of the orders of 19-2-19 referred to in paragraph 24 above.

R

Hds 20-6-22 Chief 20/6/22

Mbr 20.6.22

Ch Secy

29 [It is incidentally a question whether the preference given to Mysore people in the G.O. of 19th Feb 1919 may not be incorporated in the Mining rules]

20/6.

Mbr

6013 21.6.22

2nd Member

30 Seen.

31 Mayh please see para 24 of Chief Secy's note

Hds

23/6

32

To Chief Secy with compts.

23/6.

Mbr

To Council Secy

33 Inform agenda for 29th inst

by 24/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Subject. -

withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

...

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

~~The reservation~~

Note

34 The reservation for mg be cancelled.

35 The reservation for mg, Lewisham, bdenite & ~~magnesite~~ manganese can be cancelled

36 & sites may be canceled about Carnarvon, chromite & magnesite

37 In respect Asbestos, Kadina & Clinelly prospecting leases may be entertained on [illegible] who are ~~not~~ agents & ~~manufacturers~~ interested to what extent in these minerals, within the areas found is workable quantities.

38 The Act being [illegible] Act 1918 be amended to [illegible]

39 Questions into copies to be [illegible]

(Read 3.7.22)

40 As for urgent attention 3.7.22. MS

4225

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Geol File No. of 19

Serial No.

(no 4) of 18)

1 Submitted into the file referred to above.

4-7-22

43

I have seen & talked the matters over with Dr Fermor. He told me that Corundum was wanted only for abrasive purposes & that the grade now available was not high. As regards chrome it will be wanted only if there is any idea of making chrome steel & not otherwise. It does not seem that there is any immediate prospect of this being done. Magnesite is of use mere only as a refractory than any thing else. It appears to me that there are as such no strong case for the further reservation of these three minerals.

Rec 7.7.22

The Diwan

7.7.22.

44

May now be finally cancelled.

45

To The Chief Secretary (10) with compliments

10.7.22

To Conf. Off

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUL 8 1922
Reg. No. 210

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 563

.....1922-23.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xii of the schedule)

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

Re-CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

11th July 1922.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

Third Member of Council.

5° *Extract from the Proceedings of the.....Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the.....20th July.....1922.*

(57)

33. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

(1) The reservation of gold, corundum, chrome ore and magnesite may be withdrawn.

(2) The reservation of iron ore, limestone, dolomite and manganese cannot be cancelled.

(3) In respect of asbestos, kaolin and china clay ~~xxxxxxx~~ applications for prospecting licenses may be entertained only from persons who are willing to give an undertaking to start a manufacturing industry in the State in these minerals when they are found in workable quantities.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

C568

General and Revenue Secretariat. Genl File No. 47 of 1922-23

Serial No.

51

Submitted with Extract of the Council Resolution.

995-453
28.7.22

1st Member)

4
4(7).

52

Signed final note is placed on file

M&R
28.7.22

(53)
Dewan

D. S. N. Subd

4 (54)
29/7 W
1.8

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

55

Subject:-

Question of the withdrawal of restrictions regarding the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

When the Department of Mines and Geology was last reorganized i.e., in September 1919, it was directed that prospecting work on commercial lines should be one of the items of work of the Geological Department.

2. In connection with certain applications received for minerals required for industrial purposes in the State, Government directed in their order of the 29th April 1920 that, pending further investigation as to the requirements of local industries and the available supplies of material, certain ores and minerals within specified areas should be reserved for Government, but that licenses might be granted in respect of the ores and minerals so reserved, at the discretion of Government, to approved applicants coming forward with an undertaking to develop local industries.

3. The Director of Geology states that for want of funds and facilities no prospecting work on commercial lines can be undertaken by the Department, and that, therefore, the same may well be left to private enterprise.

4. The subject was also considered by the Board of Industries and Commerce whose recommendation in this behalf is to go back to the pre-war conditions and to withdraw all reservations so that the work of commercial exploitation of minerals might be taken up more vigorously by private capitalists.

5. The question was considered by the Council at their meeting held on 20th July 1922 and the following resolution was recorded:-

Rus

"The reservation of gold, corundum, chrome ore and magnesite may

may be withdrawn.

The reservation of iron ore, limestone, dolomite and manganese cannot be cancelled.

In respect of asbestos, kaolin and china clay applications for prospecting licenses may be entertained only from persons who are willing to give an undertaking to start a manufacturing industry in the State in these minerals when they are found in workable quantities."

6. The resolution of the Council is submitted for His Highness' gracious approval.

Prakashvirakar
25.7.22

27th July 1922. MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

G. R. S. IV—6]

56 *Submitted to His Highness.*

I submit herewith a Final Note by the First *Member of Council on the ~~subject of~~* question of the withdrawal of restrictions regarding the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Dewan.

11 AUG 1922

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Question of the withdrawal of restrictions relating to the grant of mining lease and licenses in specified areas.

57 *Order.*

~~KRW~~

4/8/22

no 121

58 Give effect.
Before issue of order
the recent rule of the
Council must be
complied with
4

5 AUG 1922

60 a.s 59 1 10/8/22 378

C563

(17

G. R. S. III-2.]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ged File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circutated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

60 Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders ~~before~~ / after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 or the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,

General Department.

60 A. S. Please put up papers marked for Delwar 5/8/22

60 The file has been sent to Council Listen if Thimk. Please put it back at once 7/8

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE.--Contn sheet.

WD 923--GPB--500--3-11-21

4225
3751

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geol File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

63
Geol file no 90-21 referred to above is submitted herewith.
AM.
9-8-22

64 Reference is invited to Dr Smeeth's letter (Flag E) in the linked file. He is opposed withdrawing the reservation of chrome and is not also in favour of granting any mining licenses or leases to win this mineral. If this is approved a slight modification of the Council Resolution of 20.7.22 by including chrome among the minerals mentioned in para 2 of the Resolution will be necessary.

65 A draft order will be put up after orders on this point are passed.

9/8/22

Chief Secretary

Member

1300 - 240.
10.8.22.

9.8.22

66 I am afraid I am unable to understand the attitude of Dr. Smeeth in this matter otherwise the reservation of manganese & chrome

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

ores respectively are more or less, on all fours with one another. They are both required for the manufacture of alloy steels viz manganese steel & chrome steel, just as they have nickel steel & vanadium steel elsewhere. If so how can any logical differentiation be made between these two ores? The United Steel companies (Lake Washington & S Coys) are always exploiting manganese ore while restriction is meant to be placed on chrome ore. This is unintelligible. Both shd be reserved or neither. Unless Dr. Gaudin can satisfactorily explain the differentiation I do not know that his view re chrome ore can be accepted. In my personal conversation with him, some days ago, I understood him to mean that the restriction on chrome ore could be withdrawn

Put
1.8.22.

Mr Dawson).

Mr 12/8

Kindly send so that we can consider the

G/C.R. matter

Perhaps when we take safe side Chrome may be given up

Please give your right

& [illegible] on behalf of W.S. Co. 4/8.

4/8.

S OFFICE
AUG 15 1922
1076

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geol File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No. 71

CB circulate

10/8/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

RE-CIRCULATED.
(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 88

.....1922-23.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xii of the schedule.)

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

RE - CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

.....18th August.....192 2.

~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

Third Member of Council.

22

76

Extract from the Proceedings of the.....627th.....Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at.....M..y..s..o..r..e.....
on the....27th..September.....19 22.

---

90. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The subsequent opinion of Dr. Smooth to reserve chrome may be approved.

(Signed) A. R. BANERJI,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government,
~~General Department~~.

WD—156—GPB—1,000—19-7-16.

C88

23

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Genl File No. 47 of 1922-23.

Serial No.	
	77
	Submitted with Extract of the Council Resolution.
3072-91 7.10.22.	1st Member)
	Final Note signed by the 1st Member of Council is submitted.
	D.S.W. Subd.
	80

(81) FIRST

Final Note by the ~~Second~~ Member of Council.

Subject :—

Question of the withdrawal of restrictions in respect of certain ores and minerals in the State.

Pages 13 & 14 + office Notes

I beg to invite attention to my Final Note of the 28th July last on the above subject and to His Highness' orders graciously approving the recommendations of the Council contained in it.

2. Before the issue of orders, the Dewan suggested that the recent note of Dr. Smeeth (flag marked 'E') ~~in the linked file~~ might be considered.

3. Dr. Smeeth is emphatically of the opinion that the reservation of chrome is quite necessary and that it is undesirable to grant any more concessions for chrome ore or to encourage its export. He is further of opinion that the small amount which Government may get in the shape of royalty is hardly worth having, that in a few years' time, we may find that we "require the chrome ores for some much more paying purpose and that it would be a pity then to find that we had entirely depleted our comparatively small stock of the ores".

4. The matter was again considered in Council at its meeting held on the 27th ultimo when it was resolved that the subsequent opinion of Dr. Smeeth to reserve chrome be accepted. This involves a slight modification of the Council Resolution of 20th July last, already sanctioned by His Highness.

5. I now solicit His Highness' gracious approval to modify the earlier resolution so far as chrome ore is concerned, agreeably to the opinion of Dr. Smeeth.

P. Rajagopalachariar

MEMBER OF COUNCIL 7.10.22

7th October 1922.

G. R. S. IV—6]

(82)

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the FIRST

Member of Council on the ~~subject of~~ question of the withdrawal of restrictions in respect of certain ores and minerals in the State,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Dewan.

9 OCT 1922

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

82(a) ***Subject.***

Question of the withdrawal of restrictions in respect of certain ores and minerals in the State.

240
9-10-22

316

83 ***Order.***

~~KRW~~
10/10/22

84 Secretary up

[illegible]

4(-)

k

3563 of 21-22
C 88 of 22-23

G. R. S. III-2.]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Genl. File No. 47 of 1922-3

Serial No.

Withdrawal of restrictions as regards the grant of mining leases and licenses in specified areas.

85

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders $\frac{\text{before}}{\text{after}}$ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 or the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,
General Department.

14/10/22

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circutated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

3246-265
17.10.22

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL Sir
Dec
18.10.22

2399
20/X/22

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
18/10

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE.—Contd sheet.

WD 923—GPB—500—3-11-21

The General Secretary with Compliments.

AS 23/10/22

4215
751

2

G. R. S. III-2

Geol File No. 47 of 19 22.

(3)
In this draft notification
please, it appears
desirable, as pointed out
in draft, to omit the
second paragraph, such
matter cannot properly
find a place in such
notifications. Should
you draw attention to the
fact that the draft does
not agree with
above at page 30/10

Sec Leg
(92)
Secretary 31/10/22

(93) Agree
Rec
2-11-22

1
The draft already put up is suitably revised & submitted

92 Revised
Put up a clean typed draft.
Also a draft notification

Rec
26/10

3
Submitted with a typed draft g.o. and a draft notification

Gen Secy
28-10-22

Secy
28/10

(94) For approval

30/10/22
Rec

(95)
Done to approved

Rec
2-11-22

(96)
2/11/22

Done
Rec

5 To, Director of Mines
Director of Mines & Geology; Dir. of Ind
Chief Inspr. of Mines, & Rec. Commr &
Secy Ind. Board — 2941-60. 6-11-22
Passes orders in the matter of reservation
& withdrawal of [illegible] mining leases thereof
in specified areas in [illegible]
[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 20/11

Signed by.....

C

Of G.O. No G.7044-7100/ Genl. 69-18-11 dated 18th September 1919 prescribing that

Section Genl.

File No. 47-22

Serial No. 1

No. 59805-6

To

The Director of Mines & Geology
The Director, Dept of Geology

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~your~~ reference to paragraph 8 (2)(C) ~~relating to~~ prospecting work on a commercial basis should be one of the items of work of the Genl. Deptt and to request ~~that detailed proposals thereon~~ you to be so good as to let me know what ~~called for may kindly be submitted to Govt~~ action, if any, has been taken so far on ~~receipt of~~ this item of work by the Deptt

I have etc

13/11/20.
for Chief Secy to Govt.

12/11/20

over.

33789

M. G. D. 12.

No. 1322 Dated, Bangalore, 10/2/1922.

Geol 47-22-2

From

B. JAYA RAM, ESQUIRE, F.G.S.,
Director, Mysore Geological Department.

GEN D REV SECTT
9
11 FEB 1922

To

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.9805-6, dated 20th November 1920, and reminders thereto ending with your No.I.C.4033-4, dated 25th January 1922, regarding the prospecting work to be done by this Department on a commercial basis, I have the honor to state that this has been pending for a very long time and I much regret that it has been unduly delayed.

2. The question has been referred to Dr. W.F.Smeeth from time to time for a favour of his opinion on the matter, but as yet I have not received any written expression of his views.

3. Presumably he may be in the same predicament as I am as to what best advice can be offered on the subject

4. Under existing conditions even the legitimate mineral survey and prospecting works of the Department have materially suffered for want of funds and facilities and it would be neither possible nor profitable to undertake any prospecting work on a commercial basis, which would naturally require very large sums of money.

5. For the present the subject may be shelved and left to private enterprises.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. Jagannam

Director,

Mysore Geological Department.

cvv

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 18/2/22

Signed by .....

Section Genl.

File No. 47-22

Serial No 3.

No. 4523.

To: The Secy Ecc
Dte of Bl ~~Mines~~,

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to ~~your~~ para 4 of G.O. No 7041/10 Genl 65-18-11 & 18-9-19 in the matter of ~~running~~ working & the prospecting for minerals on commercial basis:- to request you to kindly submit for the info= of Govt a report about the recommendations over. of the Special Committee appointed to consider the question

Shall etc

ms
13.2.22

Mines 14/2/22
for Secy - Secy

No. 2267
No. 2

36436 MEMORANDUM. GEN. & REV.

Geol 47-22-4

From

The Secretary,
Board of Ind. & Com.,
Bangalore.

To

14 MAR 1922

11th March 1922

The Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

15/3

With reference to your letter No.I.C.4523/Geol. dated 18th February 1922 regarding the prospecting of Minerals on commercial lines, I am directed to forward herewith copy of the proceedings of the meeting of the Special Sub-Committee appointed to consider the question as well as an extract of the final proceedings of the Board on the same held on 25th February 1922 for needful action.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
4289
15 MAR 1922
INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE
BRANCH.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary.

[Please reply overleaf]

WD 310—GPB—200 Reams—3-9-18
SK

(Extract from the proceedings of the 10th meeting of the Board of Industries & Commerce held on Saturday the 25th February 1922 at the Chambers of the 1st Member of Council, Public Office Buildings, Bangalore, commencing at 3 P.M.

Subject No.2.

Consideration of a scheme for the development of Mineral Resources in the State.)

The proceedings of the Mineral Sub-Committee and the opinion of the Director of Industries & Commerce thereon were circulated to the members for information and discussion.

Mr. V. Manickavelu Mudaliar opened

the discussion by saying that he would require at least 15 days to explain the practical difficulties that at present hindered the growth of Mineral industries in the State. He said that Mysore contained many precious minerals such as diamond and rubbies, all of which lay unexplored for lack of sufficient capital and enterprise in the State. He was therefore of opinion that in order to attract enough foreign capital and outside help, it was absolutely necessary to form a central syndicate on the lines suggested by the Sub-Committee. He deprecated the use of the word monopoly whenever the subject came up for consideration because he would like to point out that the syndicate contemplated only aimed at collecting a large amount of capital for exploring the mineral ores and was prepared to allow Government a decent portion of the profits. The syndicate had to incur great risks before making a mineral industry pay. It was therefore but natural that they should be given sufficient protection to develop the mineral resources without being constantly exposed to unhealthy competition. He concluded by saying that the present mining rules required considerable modification.

The Chief Electrical Engineer said that the mining policy of the State had considerably changed during the past 2 or

3 years. The original idea of Government starting industries directly was gradually dying out. It was therefore necessary to go back to pre-war conditions and modify the rules relating to reservation and prohibition of export of raw materials, etc. He drew pointed attention to the fact that it was only enterprising private companies that found and developed the gold, the asbestos and the manganese mines in the State. He was therefore of opinion that sufficient inducement should be given to private men by offering liberal concessions for exploration according to up-to-date methods. He emphasised the necessity of affording adequate protection to those who would come forward to undertake the pioneering work.

Mr. K.P. Puttanna Chetty remarked that Mr. Manickavelu Mudaliar always gave a rosy picture of the latent mineral resources of the State which did not quite correspond with facts. In this connection, he invited the attention of the members to the observations made by Dr. Smeeth and the views of the Geological Department according to which they were told that the mineral resources of the State were neither so abundant nor varied nor rich as was popularly thought He however thought it inexpedient and unnecessary to create a central syndicate as contemplated by the Sub-Committee:

but he would have no objection for giving limited concessions to really enterprising men who would come forward to undertake prospecting work on a large scale with a view to establishing any particular industries.

Mr. C. Subba Rao said that there would be no harm in offering sufficient inducement to those who were enterprising enough to come forward to work the hidden deposits.

Mr. G. Paramasivayya said that exportation of raw materials should be strictly prohibited. He knew as a matter of fact that most of the raw materials could be utilised to start primary or subsidiary industries locally which would greatly help to provide work for the masses in Mysore.

Mr. B.K. Garudachar would prefer to give temporary protection for at least 5 years to those who would come forward to undertake great risks.

The Chief Electrical Engineer said that certain portions of raw materials could not be used locally for many reasons and it was therefore of no use to bind the Company not to export any portion of the raw material collected at enormous cost. There was therefore no harm in allowing the export of such raw materials as could not be advantageously utilised in this country.

The Director of Industries & Commerce agreed with the above remarks and said that a suitable proportion might be fixed between the raw materials locally utilised for manufacturing purposes and that proposed to be exported.

Mr. G. Paramasivayya emphasised that unless it was found absolutely certain that the raw materials could not be utilised locally with advantage, the restriction should continue.

Mr. K.P. Puttanna Chetty pointed out that raw materials like kaolin could be converted into useful articles and that such things should not be allowed to be exported indiscriminately.

The Chairman then put the whole discussion under the following 2 heads:-

(1) Whether the formation of a central syndicate with exclusive monopoly rights as suggested by the Mineral Sub-Committee was necessary

or

(2) Whether it would be enough if sufficient protection were given to those who would come forward to exploit particular industries ~~in particular areas~~ with limited concessions.

minerals with a view to develop ~~locality~~

He requested the members to come to some definite conclusion on the subject as indicated above.

Mr. Abbaskhan desired a postponement of the discussion on the subject in order to enable a still further consideration of the scheme with the Director of Geology being present.

Many of the members were agreeable

to the alternative proposition No.2 being treated as an amendment to the first proposition.

Mr. Abbaskhan raised a point of order and said that the amendment negatived the spirit of the original proposition and that sufficient time should have been given for moving the amendment.

The Chairman said that strict rules of debate might be relaxed in considering the general policy of a scheme like the present one which has been engaging the attention of Government from a long time rather than confining ourselves to a particular recommendation of the Sub-Committee. He added that the whole mining policy of Government was under scrutiny and it would therefore strengthen the hands of Government if this Board came to a decision quickly and recommended the adoption of a general policy to suit the altered conditions.

The first proposition was then put to vote and declared lost.

The Chairman then put the second proposition in the following form to vote which was carried by a large majority.

Resolution No.2.

Resolved that the Board is not in favour of entrusting the work to a centr

syndicate as suggested by the Mineral Sub-Committee but they would recommend the reversion to the original mineral policy of Government adopted prior to 1916, viz. withdrawal of restrictions as regards reservation of minerals and exportation of raw materials leaving the discretion to Government to decide about the framing of detailed rules in the light of the above discussion.

The Board would also recommend the introduction in the rules of some special or temporary protection in the case of those who would undertake to manufacture finished product within the State out of local raw materials.

" C o p y "

Secretary,
Board of Industries & Com.

9-3-22
SK

Proceedings of the meeting of the Sub-Committee for considering the question of the development of the mineral resources of the State held at the Geological Office on Monday, the 14th November 1921, commencing at 2.30 P.M

Present

1. Mr. B. Jaya Ram (Convener)
2. " V. Manickavelu Mudaliar.
3. " M.S. Ramachandra Rao.
4. Dr. W.F. Smeeth.

Absent

1) The Director of Industries & Commerce in Mysore.
2) Mr. C.B. Oakley.

1. Read letter No.491 dated 29th August 1921 from the Secretary, Board of Industries & Commerce, requesting the Sub-Committee to consider and submit a definite scheme for the formation of a Syndicate for the development of the mineral resources of the State without expecting any financial help from the Government.

2. The Convener explained that it is the desire of the Chairman of the Board of Industries & Commerce that the Sub-Committee should consider whether the creation of any exclusive monopoly to any particular Syndicate would be fair and if so, formulate definite proposals without prejudice to the existing Licensees and Lessees and small enterprises which deserve encouragement.

3. Mr. Manickavelu Mudaliar said that as most of the areas so far known to contain workable mineral deposits have already been let out under Licenses and Leases, it would be desirable to grant a monopoly to a single Syndicate to prospect for all valuable minerals other than gold in the unoccupied portions of the State and to form Working Companies with sufficient capital, allowing the Government a reasonable share of the profits obtained.

4. Dr. Smeeth said that there was something in Mr. Manickavelu Mudaliar's proposals for the formation of a strong Syndicate to carry on further prospecting work. He, however, deprecated the use of the word "monopoly" and contemplated merely the grant of certain large-scale concessions for specific minerals or specific mineral areas. He could not agree with Mr. Manickavelu Mudaliar's optimistic views

in regard to the valuable mineral deposits which still awaited exploration. Mr. Mudaliar's views were largely traditional and had been repeated in the Press and elsewhere ad-nauseam but they were not borne out by the results of scientific and practical work carried out during the recent years. No doubt some other deposits of value may be disclosed by further prospecting but on the whole so far as our knowledge went the mineral deposits of Mysore were rather meagre in character. Again if concessions were granted to a Syndicate, the Syndicate would naturally expect the right to remove and sell the mineral. If these have to be exported the value of the minerals in Mysore would be very small and any share which Government could get in the shape of royalty or share of profits would be comparatively trifling. If there was any chance of mineral industry being developed in the State itself it would be better to leave the minerals unworked and unsold until there is a reasonable prospect of such industries being established. He would, therefore, like to propose that such minerals as Chrome, Maganese, Iron Ores and Magnesite should be excluded from any concessions to be granted at present and that the restrictions in respect of these minerals in the Appendix to G.O.No.G. 24557-62/Geol.47-18-11 dated 19th of April 1920 should be maintained as it was possible that these minerals would be required for future developments in connection with the Iron Scheme. The restrictions merely excluded certain areas from exploitation by the public while leaving a considerable area of country still available for existing Leases and Licenses or for further exploration. He was prepared to recommend that the restrictions contained in the above Order might be removed in the case of minerals other than those already mentioned. He was inclined to think that more useful work would be secured in respect of work undertaken by a strong Syndicate with a good financial backing, rather than by individual Licensees. If work was taken up seriously a large amount of money would have to be spent and many failures would result. If, however, some success was attained in a few cases the Syndicate's expenditure might be recouped. The whole scheme would be in the nature of a speculation. Government had

already informed the Committee that they were not prepared to contribute financially to such a scheme. Government, on the other hand, would be asked to grant rights for a limited period over considerable areas and to grant the Syndicate special concessions and facilities. In return for these, Government would, of course, expect to share in any profits. This share might take the form of royalty which could be regarded as a minimum of 25 per cent. of profits of the Syndicate, or of any subsidiary Companies promoted by the Syndicate, whichever was greater in amount. He did not think that the Committee was in a position to draw up any definite scheme or propose any hard and fast terms. He thought that Government might simply announce their policy in the matter and let it be known that they were willing to consider the grant of such concessions and then await the formulation of proposals by people who might be willing to come forward and put their money in.

After a lengthy discussion of the subject, the following resolutions were arrived at:-

(1) In the opinion of the Sub-Committee the further prospecting and development of the mineral industry of the State can best be secured by the grant of large concessions to private Syndicates or Companies.

(2) These concessions should not interfere with existing rights or unduly hamper lessees and licensees who have been doing satisfactory work.

(3) The concessions should be granted for limited periods, say 5 years, in the first instance and further extensions should depend on satisfactory work done.

(4) The concessionaires to have the right to take out mining leases for longer periods in the case of developed areas and to have the right to transfer, under the rules in force at the time, such leases to working Companies.

(5) In the opinion of the Sub-Committee the areas reserved in G.O.No.G.24557-62/Geol.47-18-11 in respect of ores of iron, chrome.

[illegible] informed the Committee that they were not prepared to [illegible] but financially to such a reform. Moreover, on the [illegible] would be asked to grant right for a limited period over [illegible] areas and to grant the Syndicate special concessions with [illegible] In return for these Government would, of course, get [illegible] any profits. This share might take the form of royalty [illegible] be regarded as a minimum of 25 per cent. of profits of the Company [illegible] or of any subsidiary Companies promoted by the syndicate, which [illegible] was greater in amount. He did not think that the Committee [illegible] a position to draw up any definite scheme or propose any [illegible] fact terms. He thought that Government might usefully announce [illegible] policy in the matter and let it be known that they were willing [illegible] consider the grant of such concessions and then await the [illegible] of proposals by people who might be willing to come [illegible] their money in.

After a lengthy discussion of the subject the following resolutions were arrived at:-

(1) In the opinion of the Sub-Committee the [illegible] and development of the mineral industry of the country [illegible] secured by the grant of large concessions to private syndicates Companies.

(2) These concessions should not interfere with existing [illegible] or mining tenure used or identified with [illegible] tory work.

(3) The concessions should be granted for 21 years [illegible] 3 years; in the first instance and further extensions should [illegible] on satisfactory work done.

(4) The concessionaires to have the right to [illegible] leases for longer periods in the case of developed [illegible] the right of transfer, under the rules in force at the [illegible]

(5) In the opinion of the Sub-Committee the [illegible] Lease to working Companies;

manganese and magnesite should, for the present, continue to be so reserved and excluded from the concessions herein proposed.

(6) Concessions may be granted in respect of all other minerals either singly or in groups but, in the opinion of the Sub-Committee it is necessary that the minerals for which rights are granted should be definitely specified in a schedule and that subsequent additions to the list should be made only at the discretion of Government.

(7) The Sub-Committee is of opinion that it is not possible to debar the concessionaries from selling the produce of the mines whether in a raw or manufactured state but, with a view to encourage the development of local industries and secure materials for the same, Government should reserve to themselves the right of pre-emption over any minerals or mineral products produced in the State at their current market values. Government should have the power to exercise such right of pre-emption not only for products required for Government use but also for use in local industries developed by private individuals or concerns.

(8) It is suggested that Government should receive as a minimum the usual mineral royalties leviable under the rules in force in the State and that they should receive in addition a share of profits made by the Concessionary Syndicate or Company. The share to be taken by Government would be a matter for mutual arrangement both as regards amount and method of determination.

(9) Government should have official representatives on the Board of Directors

(10) That Government should announce their policy in the matter and invite definite proposals from those interested in mining and mineral development who are prepared to embark on schemes of this character.

Sd/- M. Varadaiya,

For Director,
Mysore Geological Department
(Absent on tour).

Bangalore,
November 1921.

"Copy"

Secretary

2

SD 12 copies Two drafts Geol 47-22-5

Proceedings of the Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore..

Read:-

Paragraph 1 of Government Order

R No.G.17920-22/Geol.47-18-2 dated 19th February 1919 directing that certain minerals and mineral areas required for industrial purposes in the State might be reserved and that licenses subject to such reservation be granted to applicants who are Mysoreans and in exceptional cases to others, preferably those who have already worked in the State for not less than five years.

C 2. Paragraph 8(2) (c) of Government Order No.7041-7100/Geol.69-18-11 dated 18th September 1919 directing that prospecting work on a commercial basis should be one of the items of work of the Geological Department and calling for proposals in the matter.

U 3. Government Order No.G.24557-62/Geol. 47-18-11 dated 29th April 1920 directing that, pending further investigation as to the requirements of local industries and the available supplies of minerals, certain ores and minerals shall be reserved within specified areas and that until further notice no applications shall be entertained for the grant of licenses and leases in respect of those ores and minerals over the areas specified but that it will however be open to Government to make exceptions in the cases of approved applicants.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. 1[illegible]2 dated 10th February 1922 from the Director of Geology stating that as there are not sufficient facilities to carry on prospecting work by the Department on commercial lines, the work of future development of mineral industries may be left to private enterprise.

5. Correspondence ending with letter (x) No. [illegible]7 dated 11th March 1922 from the Secretary, Board of Industries and Commerce, submitting copy of proceedings of the meeting of the Board held on 25th February 1922 recommending that restrictions as regards reservation of minerals and exportation of raw materials may be withdrawn and that some special or temporary protection may be granted in the case of those who come forward to establish industries in the State utilising the mineral resources locally available. (x)

(x) printed as an accompaniment)

Order No. Dc 2941-6./Geol 47-22-5.

Dated Bangalore, the 6th Nov~~Octr~~.22.

In September 1910 when the Department of Mines and Geology was reorganised, it was directed that prospecting work on commercial lines should be one of the items of work of the Geological Department.

2. In connection with certain applications received for minerals required for industrial purposes in the State, Government directed, in their order of 29th April 1920 read above, that pending further investigation as to the re-

requirements of local industries, certain ores and minerals within specified areas should be reserved for Government, but that licenses might be granted in respect of the ores and minerals so reserved to approved applicants coming forward with an undertaking to develop local industries.

3. The Director of Geology states that for want of facilities no prospecting work on commercial lines could be carried on by the Department. The recommendation of the Industries and Commerce Board now received is to go back to the prewar conditions and to withdraw all reservations so that the work of exploitation of minerals on commercial lines might be taken up more vigorously by private enterprise.

4. As it has been found that such commercial work as was contemplated in para (2)(c) of Government Order dated 18th September 1919 cannot be undertaken by any of the Government Departments in the near future, Government are pleased to direct that all reservations notified in Government Order No.G.2455-62/Geol.47-18-11 dated 29th April 1920 be withdrawn, except in the case of iron, limestone, dolomite, manganese and chrome ores so as to allow greater scope for private capitalists to utilize commercially the minerals reserved by Government.

5. They further direct that in respect of asbestos, kaolin & china clay, applications should be entertained only from persons who are willing to give an

ertaking to start a manufacturing industry the State in these minerals when they are nd in workable quantities and in granting enses and leases preference should be given ~~1st~~ first to licants who are Mysoreans, by birth or icile, next to people who have vested rests in Mysore, and failing these to silers.

5. Government are also of opinion that there uch force in the proposal put forward by the rd of Industries and Commerce that the res- tions against the export of minerals in the condition should be relaxed. They are rdingly pleased to direct that in future export outside the State of such surplus ion of the minerals as cannot be made use ocally may be permitted, provided that the ogical Department is satisfied that the ral cannot be used within the State for any strial purposes.

7. In regard to the other proposal of the d of Industries and Commerce that some ial and temporary protection should be given he case of individuals or companies who would rtake to manufacture finished products with- he State by utilising the minerals exploited lly, Government are of opinion that no general s can be prescribed but that each case will ealt with on its merits.

The Director of Geology is requested to it for the approval of Government a draft set evised rules relating to the grant of licenses leases incorporating the modifications tioned in this order as also other modifica- s sanctioned from time to time. ~~He is also~~

9. A separate notification will be published in the Gazette re: the withdrawal of the reservations in the cases of the minerals referred to above.

30/10/22

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

Copy
28/10

To

The Director of Mines and Geology.

" Director, Department of Geology.

" Director of Industries and Commerce.

" Chief Inspector of Mines in Mysore.

" Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

" Secretary, Industries and Commerce Board.

Copy to Revenue Secretariat

Press Table.

Rec
2.11.22

VS

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. I. C. 2941-6—Geol. 47-22-5, dated 6th November 1922

Withdrawal of reservation of certain Ores and Minerals in the State.

Issues orders *re* ——

READ—

Paragraph 1 of Government Order No. G. 17920-22—Geol. 47-18-2, dated 19th February 1919, directing that certain minerals and mineral areas required for industrial purposes in the State might be reserved and that licenses subject to such reservation be granted to applicants who are Mysoreans and in exceptional cases to others, preferably those who have already worked in the State for not less than five years.

2. Paragraph 8 (2) (*c*) of Government Order No. 7041-7100—Geol. 69-18-11, dated 18th September 1919, directing that prospecting work on a commercial basis should be one of the items of work of the Geological Department and calling for proposals in the matter.

3. Government Order No. G. 24557-62—Geol. 47-18-11, dated 29th April 1920, directing that, pending further investigation as to the requirements of local industries and the available supplies of minerals certain ores and minerals shall be reserved within specified areas and that until further notice no applications shall be entertained for the grant of licenses and leases in respect of those ores and minerals over the areas specified but that it will however be open to Government to make exceptions in the cases of approved applicants.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. 1322, dated 10th February 1922, from the Director of Geology stating that as there are not sufficient facilities to carry on prospecting work by the Department on commercial lines, the work of future development of mineral industries may be left to private enterprise.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No. 2267, dated 11th March 1922, from the Secretary, Board of Industries and Commerce, submitting copy of proceedings * of the meeting of the Board held on 25th February 1922, recommending that restrictions as regards reservation of minerals and exportation of raw materials may be withdrawn and that some special or temporary protection may be granted in the case of those who come forward to establish industries in the State utilising the mineral resources locally available.

*(Printed as an accompaniment).

ORDER No. I. C. 2941-6—GEOL. 47-22-5, DATED 6TH NOVEMBER 1922.

In September 1919, when the Department of Mines and Geology was reorganised, it was directed that prospecting work on commercial lines should be one of the items of work of the Geological Department.

2. In connection with certain applications received for minerals required for industrial purposes in the State, Government directed, in their Order of 29th April 1920, read above, that pending further investigation as to the requirements of local industries, certain ores and minerals within specified areas should be reserved for Government, but that licenses might be granted in respect of the ores and minerals so reserved to approved applicants coming forward with an undertaking to develop local industries.

3. The Director of Geology states that for want of facilities no prospecting work on commercial lines could be carried on by the department. The recommendation of the Industries and Commerce Board now received is to go back to the pre-war conditions and to withdraw all reservations so that the work of exploitation of minerals on commercial lines might be taken up more vigorously by private enterprise.

4. As it has been found that such commercial work, as was contemplated in para 8 (2) (*c*) of Government Order dated 18th September 1919, cannot be undertaken by any of the Government Departments in the near future, Government are pleased to direct that all reservations notified in Government Order No. G. 24557-62—Geol. 47-18-11, dated 29th April 1920, be withdrawn, except in the case of iron, lime-stone, dolomite, manganese and chrome ores so as to allow greater scope for private capitalists to utilize commercially the minerals reserved by Government.

5. They further direct that in respect of asbestos, kaolin and china clay, applications should be entertained only from persons who are willing to give an undertaking to start a manufacturing industry in the State in these minerals when they are found in workable

quantities and in granting licenses and leases preference should be given first to applicants who are Mysoreans, by birth or domicile, next to people who have vested interests in Mysore, and failing these to outsiders.

6. Government are also of opinion that there is much force in the proposal put forward by the Board of Industries and Commerce that the restrictions against the export of minerals in the raw condition should be relaxed. They are accordingly pleased to direct that in future the export outside the State of such surplus portion of the minerals as cannot be made use of locally may be permitted, provided that the Geological Department is satisfied that the mineral cannot be used within the State for any industrial purposes.

7. In regard to the other proposal of the Board of Industries and Commerce that some special and temporary protection should be given in the case of individuals or companies who would undertake to manufacture finished products within the State by utilising the minerals exploited locally, Government are of opinion that no general rules can be prescribed but that each case will be dealt with on its merits.

8. The Director of Geology is requested to submit for the approval of Government a draft set of revised rules relating to the grant of licenses and leases incorporating the modifications sanctioned in this order as also other modifications sanctioned from time to time.

9. A separate notification will be published in the Gazette, *re* the withdrawal of the reservations in the cases of the minerals referred to above.

To Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To—The Director of Mines and Geology in Mysore.
The Director, Department of Geology in Mysore.
The Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore.
The Chief Inspector of Mines in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Secretary, Industries and Commerce Board.
PRESS TABLE.

Ed.—C. R.

Accompaniment.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 10th Meeting of the Board of Industries and Commerce, held on Saturday the 25th February 1922.

Subject No. 2.—Consideration of a Scheme for the Development of Mineral Resources in the State.

* * * *

The Chairman put the whole discussion under the following two heads :—

(1) Whether the formation of a central syndicate with exclusive monopoly rights as suggested by the Mineral Sub-Committee was necessary ;

Or

(2) Whether it would be enough if sufficient protection were given to those who would come forward to exploit particular minerals with a view to develop industries locally with a limited concessions.

The first proposition was put to vote and declared lost.

The Chairman then put the second proposition in the following form to vote which was carried by a large majority :—

Resolution No. 2.—Resolved that the Board is not in favour of entrusting the work to a central syndicate as suggested by the Mineral Sub-Committee, but they would recommend the reversion to the original mineral policy of Government adopted prior to 1916, *viz.*, withdrawal of restrictions as regards reservation of minerals and exportation of raw materials leaving the discretion to Government to decide about the framing of detailed rules in the light of the above discussion.

The Board would also recommend the introduction in the rules of some special or temporary protection in the case of those who would undertake to manufacture finished product within the State out of local raw materials.

W D 821-GPB—645-13-11-22

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

6 Copies

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1955

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 6th Novr 1922

Signed by Mr B.V. Rowlt

Section Geol

File No. 47-22

Serial No 6 c

No. 2947.

Notification

In modification of Govt Notification no Gr 25214/Geol 47-8-12 dt 4-5-1920 the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to direct that reservation of gold, corundum and magnesite be withdrawn so as to allow greater scope for private capitalists to utilize these minerals but the reservation in the case of iron ore, limestone dolomite, manganese and chrome ores will be continued as heretofore.

over. P.T.O.

pp
11 c/12
9 copies

Heased to

They are further directed that in respect of asbestos, kaolin & china clay applications should be entertained only from persons who are willing to give an undertaking to start a manufacturing industry in the State in these minerals when they are found in workable quantities and in granting leases preference should be given 1st to applicants who are Mysoreans, by birth or domicile, and then only to people who have vested interests in Mysore and failing them to Natives.

notifying this separately is not contemplated in the order - vide last para of the draft

28.10.22

Amended

31/10/22

By order

No 80/60/22

Government Secretary

Copy 29.10

2.11.22

To the compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication

List of enclosures.

2. 11. 22

Copied by

Examined by

(54

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

NOTIFICATION.

No. Dc 2947/Geol 47-22-6

Dated Bangalore, the 6th November 1922

In modification of Government Notification No.G.25214/Geol. 47-1 -13 dated 4th May 1920 the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to direct that reservation of gold, corundum and magnesite be withdrawn so as to allow greater scope for private capitalists to utilize these minerals. But the reservation in the case of iron ore, limestone, dolamite, manganese and chrome ores will be continued as heretofore.

Byorder,

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication.